

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			- *	. 0
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/721,167	11/22/2000	Yoram Uziel	STC-38090	3296
7	7590 06/18/2002			
OLSON & H	IERL, LTD.	EXAMINER		
36th Floor 20 North Wacker Drive			HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ	
Chicago, IL 6	00000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	7
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summa	09	oplication No. 9/721,167	Applicant(s) UZIEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summa	201	9/721,167	UZIEL ET AL.	
Oπice Action Summa	ary Ex			
		aminer	Art Unit	
		rviz Hassanzadeh	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appears	on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). his communication. h thirty (30) days, a reply withi timum statutory period will apy for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	In no event, however, men the statutory minimum by and will expire SIX (6)	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 15 April	2002 .		
2a) This action is FINAL.		tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	ndition for allowance	except for formal	matters, prosecution as to t 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending	n the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	• •	om consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed		om consideration	•	
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to re		on requirement		
Application Papers		on requirement.		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	s/are: a) accepted o	or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that a				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction				
If approved, corrected drawings			-	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examin	er.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	0			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None			-	
1. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents hav	e been received.		
			n Application No	
	pies of the priority do	ocuments have be	een received in this National	Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl				annlication)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a contract the foreign and	ın language provisior	nal application ha	s been received.	applications.
Attachment(s)	pric	ander oo o.o	.O. 33 120 and/01 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)	iew (PTO-948) 149) Paper No(s)	4)	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PTo	(s) O-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	ummary	Part o	f Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-33, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345.39.
- II. Claims 34-51, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 707. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method does not require a moving chuck and thus the method can be practice in an apparatus not having a movable chuck.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 - Embodiment 1, pages 12, Fig. 4;

Species 2 - Embodiment 2, pages 12, Fig. 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/721,167

Art Unit: 1763

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are fully generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Talivaldis Cepuritis on 6/7/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1763

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (703)308-2050. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on (703)308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

P. Hananzocht
Parviz Hassanzadeh

Examiner Art Unit 1763